

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

**Bioactivity of an Ionic Liquid against Two Major Coleopteran Stored Grain Insect Pests**

Jyotika Brari, Shweta Thakur

*St. Bede's College, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh, India***Received: 30 September 2021; Revised: 17 October 2021; Accepted: 15 November 2021****ABSTRACT**

**Introduction:** Insecticidal efficacy of a monoterpene-based ionic liquid was evaluated against two Coleopteran insect pests, viz., the red flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum* Herbst. and the drug store beetle, *Stegobium paniceum* (L). **Methods:** Bioassays for contact activity against adult insects, larvicidal activity, repellent activity, and antifeedant activity were conducted in the laboratory for the above said insect pests. **Results:** Ionic liquid at a highest concentration of 1.0  $\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$  proved to be most effective against adults of *S. paniceum* producing  $76.23 \pm 1.9\%$  mortality while  $70.12 \pm 3.5\%$  mortality was obtained against *T. castaneum* after 72 h, respectively. *T. castaneum* larvae were most susceptible to all the doses of ionic liquid at a highest dose of 100  $\mu\text{l}/\text{ml}$  of ionic liquid  $80.08 \pm 2.2$  mortality was obtained followed by a mortality of  $68.32 \pm 1.8\%$  for *S. paniceum* among 8-10 day old larvae. Ionic liquid showed remarkable repellency at different doses of 2, 6 and 10  $\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$  against both insect pests. For *S. paniceum*  $75.45 \pm 1.9$ ,  $80.28 \pm 2.4$  and  $56.35 \pm 4.8\%$  repellency was observed at 10  $\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$  of ionic liquid after 3, 5 and 24 h. Ionic liquid proved to be effective seed protectant against both the insect pests.  $87.99 \pm 0.14\%$  FDI was recorded with  $5.10 \pm 0.30$  grain damage at 300  $\mu\text{g}$  of ionic liquid, respectively, while  $72.32 \pm 0.18\%$  grain damage was observed in control for *T. castaneum*.

**Keywords:** Antifeedant, insect pests, ionic liquid, larvicidal, repellent activity**INTRODUCTION**

Severe damage in weight and nature of the stored products is caused by many insects.<sup>[1]</sup> Adult and juvenile insects form attack many flour factories, distribution centers and supermarkets and feed on an extremely wide assortment of dry vegetable substances, for example, processed cereal items. Interest in the utilization of monetarily accessible bio insecticide sprays and future possibilities for the improvement of new natural arrangements in plant protection has fundamentally expanded as of late. Bio insecticide sprays of business accessibility have produced a great deal of interest in the territory of plant protection with respect to new organic planning advancement. The characteristic items

dependent on plants are generally less unsafe to climate and mammalian wellbeing when contrasted with manufactured synthetic pesticides. Thusly to lessen the negative effects on human wellbeing and climate regular items are the best option in contrast to engineered pesticides. Pesticides derived from plant fundamental oils or their constituents have set up extraordinary proficiency against the expansive scope of stored product damage. Monoterpenes containing ten carbons are a class of plant obtained metabolites. As the fundamental constituents of essential oil, these impart plants their exceptional odoriferous properties. Usually containing 1–3 terpenes as significant parts and numerous others as minor segments the oil constitution is unique for each plant species.<sup>[2]</sup> In the case of *Sitophilus oryzae*, the red flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum* and the sawtoothed grain insect, *Oryzaephilus surinamensis* some usual monoterpenoids have been demonstrated to exhibit fumigant toxicity.<sup>[3]</sup> Four stored product

**\*Corresponding Author:**

Jyotika Brari,

E-mail: [ijyotika58brari@gmail.com](mailto:ijyotika58brari@gmail.com)

pests *Callosobruchus analis*, *S. oryzae*, *Stegobium paniceum*, and *T. castaneum* were put up for fumigant toxicity studies involving the use of monoterpenes: citronellol, geraniol, linalool, eugenol, thymol, cinnamaldehyde, p-cymene and  $\alpha$ -pinene.<sup>[4]</sup>

Among the many synthetic compounds which are labeled as green pesticides ionic fluids (ILs) are novel natural salts with a wide liquid range. These chemicals have great potential for industrial use as ecofriendly chemicals. The cationic and anionic segments of ionic liquids can be modified thereby affecting their properties and its toxic levels. Ionic fluids with surfactant properties have an extraordinary potential as pesticides. Insecticidal potential of citronellol, ionic surfactant and a nonionic surfactant was assessed for their insecticidal activity towards *C. analis* (F) and *S. oryzae*. Both the surfactants altogether deflected most of females of *C. analis* from laying eggs and in decreasing FI offspring creation.<sup>[5]</sup>

The oils and surfactants are less inclined for selection by resistance and may provide safer options in contrast to synthetic chemical-based insecticides.<sup>[6,7]</sup> Surfactants have for quite some time been utilized as wetting, spreading, emulsifying and adhering specialists to improve the adequacy and inclusion of numerous pesticides.<sup>[8]</sup> Accordingly, the present examination was directed to decide insecticidal action of monoterpene-based ionic fluid against two Coleopteran pests the red flour beetle, *T. castaneum* Herbst and drug store beetle, *S. paniceum* (L).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Synthesis of an Ionic Liquid

Ionic liquid was synthesized by simple esterification of citronellol with chloroacetic acid resulted in formation of intermediate, that is, 3,7-dimethyloct-6-en-1-yl 2-chloroacetate. Finally, intermediate was quaternized with n-methylimidazole at 80°C for one hour resulted in respective citronellol based ionic liquid, viz., 3-(2-((3,7-dimethyloct-6-en-1-yl)oxy)-2-oxoethyl)-1-methyl-1*H*-imidazol-3-ium chloride. The respective amphiphile was purified by recrystallization from diethyl ether followed by drying of the viscous material under vacuum at 40°C to get the pure final product. The ionic liquid

possesses properties of surfactant was tested for its insecticidal efficacy by conducting different bioassays against two test insects.

### Test Insects

Laboratory cultures of *S. paniceum*, *T. castaneum* (5–10 days each) was maintained at  $30 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$  and  $68 \pm 2\%$  relative humidity. Whole meal wheat flour plus brewer's yeast (19:1) was used to rear *S. paniceum* and *T. castaneum*, respectively.

### Bioassays

#### Contact toxicity of ionic liquid against adult insect pests

Contact activity of ionic liquid against adults of insect pests was determined by direct contact application as cited in literature.<sup>[9,10]</sup> 5, 10, 30, and 50  $\mu\text{l}$  of ionic liquid was dissolved in 1 ml of water to prepare final concentrations of 0.1, 0.2, 0.6 and 1  $\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$ . Control was taken as 1ml methanol. Each dried paper was placed at the bottom of a Petri dish and 10 adults (5–10 day old) of each insect along with their food source were placed in each Petri dish and covered with a lid. Each set of treatment was repeated three times and number of dead insects in each Petri-dish was counted at different intervals of time.

#### Larvicidal Activity of Ionic Liquid against Insect Pests

*T. castaneum* larvae were external feeders and obtained by carefully examining the food media. Larvae of *S. paniceum* devoured into the seeds so for *S. paniceum* 10 days after egg laying the seeds were observed for larval stages. A stock solution of ionic liquid was prepared by dissolving 100  $\mu\text{l}$  of it in 1 ml of water. Plastic jars of 250 ml capacity with screw lids were used as exposure chambers. Different doses of ionic liquid 10, 30, 50 and 100  $\mu\text{l}$  prepared in solvent were applied to a circular filter paper (Whatman No. 1) and after evaporating the solvent for 5–10 minutes the treated filter paper discs were then introduced into the plastic jars and attached to inner surface of screw lid of the

jar using adhesive tape. 1 ml methanol alone was used as control. In each jar, a small glass Petri dish was containing about 10 larvae (8–10 day old) of *T. castaneum* and 10 seed grains containing *S. paniceum* larvae of same age were placed carefully. After 48 h of exposure, the larvae were transferred to clean vials and larval mortality was recorded after 120 h. For *S. paniceum*, seeds or grains were subsequently dissected after five days to count the dead larvae and larval mortality was calculated accordingly. Three replicates were set up for each dose.

Percentage mortality was calculated using the Abott formula.<sup>[11]</sup>

Corrected mortality %

$$= \frac{1 - n \text{ in T after treatment}}{n \text{ in Co after treatment}} \times 100$$

n = Total number of insects, T – treated, Co – control.

### Repellent Activity of Ionic Liquid against Insect Pests

Repellency tests of ionic liquid was carried out according to the experimental method described by Jilani and Saxena.<sup>[12]</sup> Test solutions were prepared by dissolving 100, 300 and 500 µl of ionic liquid in 1 ml water to obtain final doses of 2, 6 and 10 µl/cm<sup>2</sup> Whatman filter papers (diameter 8 cm) were cut into two equal halves one half of each dish was treated with essential oil solution as uniform as possible using micro pipette. The other half of the filter paper was treated with methanol alone as a control. The treated and control half discs were dried to evaporate the solvent completely. Treated and untreated halves were attached to their opposite ends using adhesive tape and placed in Petri dishes. Twenty adult beetles of each insect species (5–10 day old) were released at the center of each filter paper. The Petri dishes were then covered and sealed with parafilm. Three replications were used for each concentration. Observations on the number of insects present on both the treated and untreated halves were recorded after 1, 3, 5 and 24 h. Percentage repellency (PR) was calculated as follows.<sup>[13]</sup>

$$PR = \frac{N_c - N_t}{N_c + N_t} \times 100$$

$N_c$  was the number of insects on the untreated area after the exposure interval and  $N_t$  was the number of insects on the treated area after the exposure interval.

### Antifeedant Activity of Ionic Liquid against Insect Pests

The bioassay experiment was conducted for evaluating ionic liquid as potential seed protectants against *T. castaneum* and *S. paniceum* (5–10 day old) adults. To determine antifeedant activity of essential oils a no-choice test was carried out as described<sup>[14,15]</sup> with some modifications. 1 ml of prepared concentrations of 100 and 300 µl of compound dissolved in water and 1 ml methanol alone as control were applied on to a 5 g grinded mixture of pulses and rice kernels. The treated mixture of food media were placed in Petri dishes after evaporating the solvent. 10 adults of *T. castaneum* and, *S. paniceum* were transferred to each pre-weighed food media in Petri dishes. After feeding for 72 h under laboratory conditions food media was re-weighed and mortality of insects was recorded. Three replicates of each treatment were prepared, including the control.

Nutritional indices and weight loss were calculated.<sup>[16]</sup>

Weight loss (%WL) =  $(IW - FW) \times 100 / IW$ , where the IW is the initial weight and FW is the final weight.

The grain protection due to application of compounds was observed by calculating the Feeding Deterrence Index using the formula.<sup>[17,18]</sup>

FDI (%) =  $(C - T) / (C + T) \times 100$ , where C is weight loss in control and T is weight loss in treatment.

## RESULTS

### Contact Toxicity of Ionic Liquid against Adult Insect Pests

The toxicity of cationic surfactant (3-(2-((3,7-dimethyloct-6-en-1-yl)oxy)-2-oxoethyl)-1-

methyl-1*H*-imidazol-3-ium chloride) against *S. paniceum*, and *T. castaneum* adults exposed to direct contact has been summarized in Table 1. Ionic liquid at a highest concentration of 1.0  $\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$  proved to be most effective against *S. paniceum* producing  $64.40 \pm 1.6$  and  $76.23 \pm 1.9\%$  mortality after 48 and 72 h, respectively, while  $62.32 \pm 3.4$  and  $70.12 \pm 3.5\%$  mortality was obtained against *T. castaneum* at similar concentration and time intervals. Ionic liquid at 0.1  $\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$  obtained  $34.21 \pm 2.1\%$  mortality against *S. paniceum* that reached to  $42.17 \pm 2.1\%$  at an increased concentration of 1.0  $\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$  after 12 h of treatment, whereas  $51.27 \pm 1.8$ ,  $62.32 \pm 3.2$  and  $70.12 \pm 3.5\%$  mortality was obtained at 1.0  $\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$  followed by  $42.29 \pm 3.7$ ,  $55.29 \pm 1.1$  and  $60.28 \pm 1.3\%$  after 24, 48 and 72 h at 0.1  $\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$  of ionic liquid against *T. castaneum*. No mortality was obtained in control at the same time intervals.

### Larvicidal Activity of Ionic Liquid against Insect Pests

Different concentrations of 10, 30 50 and 100  $\mu\text{l}/\text{ml}$  of ionic liquid resulted in larval mortality of all insect pests. *T. castaneum* larvae were most susceptible to all the doses of ionic liquid. At a highest dose of 100  $\mu\text{l}/\text{ml}$  of ionic liquid  $80.08 \pm 2.2$  mortality was obtained among 8–10 day old larvae followed by  $68.17 \pm 4.3\%$  mortality for 18–20 day old larvae of *T. castaneum*. The mortality decreased to  $75.30 \pm 4.5\%$  at 50  $\mu\text{l}/\text{ml}$  followed by  $72.34 \pm 3.6$  (30  $\mu\text{l}/\text{ml}$ ) and  $70.24 \pm 2.7$  (10  $\mu\text{l}/\text{ml}$ ) against 8-10 day old larvae while mortality of  $64.32 \pm 2.5$

(50  $\mu\text{l}/\text{ml}$ ),  $56.28 \pm 4.4$  (30  $\mu\text{l}/\text{ml}$ ) and  $52.12 \pm 5.1\%$  (10  $\mu\text{l}/\text{ml}$ ) was observed against 18–20 day old larvae of *T. castaneum*.

For *S. paniceum*, 100  $\mu\text{l}/\text{ml}$  of ionic liquid resulted in  $68.32 \pm 1.8\%$  mortality among 8–10 day old larvae followed by  $45.42 \pm 3.5\%$  mortality of 18-20 day old larvae. Whereas  $60.12 \pm 2.2$ ,  $58.02 \pm 3.7$  and  $52.24 \pm 5.5\%$  mortality was obtained against 8–10 day old larvae at 50, 30 and 10  $\mu\text{l}/\text{ml}$  of ionic liquid followed by  $42.18 \pm 1.5$ ,  $38.12 \pm 3.9$  and  $34.15 \pm 4.4\%$  mortality respectively against 18-20 day old larvae of *S. paniceum* at similar concentrations. Ionic liquid proved to be less effective against *S. paniceum* than *T. castaneum* [Table 2].

### Repellent Activity of Ionic Liquid against Insect Pests

Ionic liquid showed remarkable repellency at different doses of 2, 6 and 10  $\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$  against both insect pests. For *S. paniceum*  $75.45 \pm 1.9$ ,  $80.28 \pm 2.4$  and  $56.35 \pm 4.8\%$  repellency was observed at 10  $\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$  of ionic liquid after 3, 5 and 24 h followed by a repellence of  $66.42 \pm 2.8$ ,  $72.26 \pm 1.4$  and  $35.56 \pm 1.6\%$  at a dose of 6  $\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$  while  $55.30 \pm 1.8$ ,  $67.22 \pm 2.4$  and  $26.45 \pm 1.6\%$  repellency was obtained at 2  $\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$  after same time period. In *T. castaneum*  $55.64 \pm 1.2$ ,  $72.35 \pm 1.6$  and  $77.23 \pm 2.8\%$  repellency was obtained at 2, 6 and 10  $\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$  of ionic liquid after 3 h while  $66.51 \pm 2.1$ ,  $78.26 \pm 1.8$  and  $81.40 \pm 3.6\%$  repellence was observed after 5 h of exposure at same concentrations. After 24 h of treatment

**Table 1:** Contact toxicity of ionic liquid against insect pests

Insect pests	Doses $\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$	% Mortality $\pm$ SE				
		6 h	12 h	24 h	48 h	72 h
<i>Stegobium paniceum</i>	0.1	$34.27 \pm 4.3^a$	$34.21 \pm 2.1^d$	$45.34 \pm 4.3^d$	$55.04 \pm 1.3^{cd}$	$65.25 \pm 4.1^d$
	0.2	$36.03 \pm 2.1^a$	$38.11 \pm 1.9^d$	$50.07 \pm 1.8^a$	$60.34 \pm 2.2^b$	$70.06 \pm 3.4^a$
	0.6	$36.33 \pm 1.3^a$	$40.39 \pm 2.7^b$	$52.10 \pm 2.4^c$	$62.35 \pm 1.9^b$	$72.43 \pm 2.5^a$
	1.0	$38.34 \pm 3.1^a$	$42.17 \pm 2.1^b$	$54.27 \pm 3.5^c$	$64.40 \pm 1.6^a$	$76.23 \pm 1.9^a$
<i>Tribolium castaneum</i>	0.1	$30.33 \pm 3.3^c$	$35.29 \pm 2.4^d$	$42.293.7^{bc}$	$55.29 \pm 1.1^{cd}$	$60.28 \pm 1.3^{bc}$
	0.2	$32.06 \pm 1.8^c$	$36.23 \pm 1.4^d$	$45.30 \pm 1.4^{bc}$	$57.16 \pm 2.9^{cd}$	$64.08 \pm 4.5^d$
	0.6	$35.19 \pm 2.9^a$	$38.11 \pm 1.1^d$	$48.04 \pm 3.6^a$	$59.34 \pm 1.4^b$	$66.28 \pm 1.6^d$
	1.0	$38.30 \pm 1.6^a$	$40.21 \pm 2.1^b$	$51.27 \pm 1.8^a$	$62.32 \pm 3.4^b$	$70.12 \pm 3.5^a$
Control		$0.00 \pm 0.00^{ab}$	$0.00 \pm 0.00^{ab}$	$0.00 \pm 0.00^{ab}$	$0.00 \pm 0.00^{ab}$	$0.00 \pm 0.00^{ab}$

% Values are mean ( $n=3$ ) $\pm$ SE. The means within a column followed by same letter are not significantly different from each other according to ANOVA and Tukey's comparison tests



repellence decreased to  $30.40 \pm 1.6$ ,  $40.47 \pm 1.2$  and  $56.46 \pm 1.2\%$  at 2, 6 and 10  $\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$  of ionic liquid against *T. castaneum* [Table 3].

### Antifeedant Activity of Ionic Liquid against Insect Pests

The data in Table 4 showed that ionic liquid at concentrations of 100 and 300  $\mu\text{l}/\text{g}$  proved to be effective seed protectant against both the insect pests. In case of *T. castaneum*  $87.99 \pm 0.14$  and  $80.67 \pm 0.21\%$  FDI was recorded with 5.10  $\pm$  0.30 and 7.15  $\pm$  0.21% grain damage at 300 and 100  $\mu\text{l}/\text{g}$  of ionic liquid, respectively, while 72.32  $\pm$  0.18% grain damage was observed in control for *T. castaneum*. 65.59  $\pm$  0.18 and 60.56  $\pm$  0.30% FDI was recorded with 7.36 $\pm$ 0.21 and 18.15  $\pm$  0.18%

grain damage at 300 and 100  $\mu\text{l}/\text{g}$  of ionic liquid as compared to control with 75.41  $\pm$  0.35% grain damage for *S. paniceum*.

### DISCUSSION

Different concentrations of 0.1, 0.2, 0.6 and 1  $\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$  of ionic liquid were tested for contact activity against insect pests. Ionic liquid at a highest concentration of 1.0  $\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$  proved to be most effective against *S. paniceum* producing 76.23  $\pm$  1.9% mortality after 72 h while 70.12  $\pm$  3.5% mortality was obtained against *T. castaneum* at similar concentration and time intervals. Ionic liquid at a lowest concentration of 0.1  $\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$  obtained 35.29  $\pm$  2.4% mortality against *T. castaneum* after 12 h while showed 34.21  $\pm$  2.1 mortality against *S. paniceum*. Result of this investigation were also similar and<sup>[5]</sup> reported that ionic surfactant at concentrations of 5 and 10  $\mu\text{l}$  showed 68–88% mortality towards *C. analis* and 63 to 76% for *S. oryzae* after 24 h of treatment.<sup>[19–22]</sup> reported insect mortality under laboratory conditions using various surfactants. In a related study of ionic and nonionic surfactants, Triton GR-7, Pronon 505, Catanac SN, and Retzanol M-139 resulted in significant green peach aphid mortality.<sup>[23]</sup> Ionic liquid showed remarkable repellency at different doses of 2, 6 and 10  $\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$  against all insect pests. At a highest dose of 10  $\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$  showed highest repellency of 80.28  $\pm$  2.4 and 81.40  $\pm$  3.6 after 5 h that further decreased to 53.35  $\pm$  4.2 and 56.46  $\pm$  1.2% after 24 h against *S. paniceum* and *T. castaneum* and

**Table 2:** Larvicidal activity of ionic liquid against insect pests (Values are mean $\pm$ SE)

Insect pests	Doses $\mu\text{l}/\text{ml}$	(% Mortality)	
		8–10 day old larvae	18–20 day old larvae
<i>Tribolium castaneum</i>	10	70.24 $\pm$ 2.7 <sup>b</sup>	52.12 $\pm$ 5.1 <sup>a</sup>
	30	72.34 $\pm$ 3.6 <sup>b</sup>	56.28 $\pm$ 4.4 <sup>b</sup>
	50	75.30 $\pm$ 4.5 <sup>a</sup>	64.32 $\pm$ 2.5 <sup>c</sup>
	100	80.08 $\pm$ 2.2 <sup>c</sup>	68.17 $\pm$ 4.3 <sup>c</sup>
<i>Stegobium paniceum</i>	10	52.24 $\pm$ 5.5 <sup>bc</sup>	34.15 $\pm$ 4.4 <sup>bc</sup>
	30	58.02 $\pm$ 3.7 <sup>d</sup>	38.12 $\pm$ 3.9 <sup>bc</sup>
	50	60.12 $\pm$ 2.2 <sup>d</sup>	42.18 $\pm$ 1.5 <sup>bc</sup>
	100	68.32 $\pm$ 1.8 <sup>d</sup>	45.42 $\pm$ 3.5 <sup>d</sup>
Control		0.00 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>ab</sup>	0.00 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>ab</sup>

% Values are mean ( $n=3$ ) $\pm$ SE. The means within a column followed by same letter are not significantly different from each other according to ANOVA and Tukey's comparison tests

**Table 3:** Percentage repellency (mean $\pm$ SE) of ionic liquid against insect pests at different time intervals

Insect pests	Time (h)	Doses $\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$		
		2 $\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$	6 $\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$	10 $\mu\text{l}/\text{cm}^2$
<i>Stegobium paniceum</i>	1	50.14 $\pm$ 4.2 <sup>b</sup>	60.56 $\pm$ 3.5 <sup>c</sup>	68.50 $\pm$ 3.6 <sup>d</sup>
	3	55.30 $\pm$ 1.8 <sup>d</sup>	66.42 $\pm$ 2.8 <sup>c</sup>	75.45 $\pm$ 1.9 <sup>d</sup>
	5	67.22 $\pm$ 2.4 <sup>bc</sup>	72.26 $\pm$ 1.4 <sup>b</sup>	80.28 $\pm$ 2.4 <sup>a</sup>
	24	26.45 $\pm$ 1.6 <sup>cd</sup>	35.56 $\pm$ 1.6 <sup>bc</sup>	56.35 $\pm$ 4.2 <sup>bc</sup>
<i>Tribolium castaneum</i>	1	46.32 $\pm$ 2.2 <sup>b</sup>	60.46 $\pm$ 2.5 <sup>c</sup>	68.37 $\pm$ 1.5 <sup>d</sup>
	3	55.64 $\pm$ 1.2 <sup>d</sup>	72.35 $\pm$ 1.6 <sup>b</sup>	77.23 $\pm$ 2.8 <sup>d</sup>
	5	66.51 $\pm$ 2.1 <sup>bc</sup>	78.26 $\pm$ 1.8 <sup>cd</sup>	81.40 $\pm$ 3.6 <sup>a</sup>
	24	30.40 $\pm$ 1.6 <sup>cd</sup>	40.47 $\pm$ 1.2 <sup>bc</sup>	56.46 $\pm$ 1.2 <sup>bc</sup>

% Values are mean ( $n=3$ ) $\pm$ SE. The means within a column followed by same letter are not significantly different from each other according to ANOVA and Tukey's comparison tests

**Table 4:** Antifeedant activity of ionic liquid against insect pests (Values are mean $\pm$ SE)

Insect pests	Doses $\mu\text{l}/\text{g}$	Grain damage (%)	Weight loss (%)	FDI (%)
<i>Tribolium castaneum</i>	100	7.15 $\pm$ 0.21 <sup>a</sup>	5.16 $\pm$ 0.15 <sup>c</sup>	80.67 $\pm$ 0.21 <sup>c</sup>
	300	5.10 $\pm$ 0.30 <sup>b</sup>	3.08 $\pm$ 0.32 <sup>b</sup>	87.99 $\pm$ 0.14 <sup>a</sup>
Control		72.32 $\pm$ 0.18 <sup>bc</sup>	48.25 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>bc</sup>	-
<i>Stegobium paniceum</i>	100	18.15 $\pm$ 0.18 <sup>c</sup>	12.32 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>cd</sup>	60.56 $\pm$ 0.30 <sup>b</sup>
	300	7.36 $\pm$ 0.21 <sup>a</sup>	10.42 $\pm$ 0.19 <sup>d</sup>	65.59 $\pm$ 0.18 <sup>d</sup>
Control		75.41 $\pm$ 0.35 <sup>bc</sup>	50.16 $\pm$ 0.19 <sup>bc</sup>	-

% Values are mean ( $n=3$ ) $\pm$ SE. The means within a column followed by same letter are not significantly different from each other according to ANOVA and Tukey's comparison tests

respectively. Larvicidal activity of ionic liquid was also tested against insect pests. Highest mortality of  $80.08 \pm 2.2\%$  was obtained for 8–10 day old larvae of *T. castaneum* followed by *S. paniceum* ( $68.32 \pm 1.8$ ) and *S. oryzae* ( $64.31 \pm 3.5$ ) at 100  $\mu\text{L}/\text{ml}$  of ionic liquid. The addition of surfactant Silwet to a range of products aimed at controlling Tetranychidae on grapevine increased the efficacy against eggs, nymphs and adults between 4 and 22%.<sup>[24]</sup> It also revealed good antifeedant activity toward all insect species and 300  $\mu\text{L}/\text{g}$  of ionic liquid obtained  $87.99 \pm 0.14$  and  $65.59 \pm 0.18$  FDI for *T. castaneum* and *S. paniceum*, respectively, and a less grain damage was recorded as compared to controls. Citronellol and ionic surfactant showed better antifeedant action against *S. oryzae* adults, a concentration of 10  $\mu\text{L}/\text{g}$  rice kernels, resulted in 65.21 and 60.24% reduction in feeding, respectively.<sup>[5,25]</sup> tested the effectiveness of ILs as stored product insect antifeedants and also compared the impact of cation and anion on the antifeedant activity. Ionic surfactant exhibited a more potent effect on food consumption rate than nonionic surfactant.

## REFERENCES

- García M, Donadel OJ, Ardanaz CE, Tonn CE, Sosa ME. Toxic and repellent effects of *Baccharis salicifolia* essential oil on *Tribolium castaneum*. Pest Manag Sci 2005;61:612-8.
- Raut JS, Karuppaiyl SM. A status review on the medicinal properties of essential oils. Ind Crops Prod 2014;62:250-64.
- Lee SE, Peterson CJ, Coats JR. Fumigation toxicity of monoterpenoids to several stored product insects. J Stored Prod Res 2003;39:77-85.
- Brari J, Thakur DR. Fumigant toxicity and cytotoxicity evaluation of monoterpenes against four stored products pests. Int J Dev Res 2015;5:5661-7.
- Brari J, Thakur DR. Insecticidal potential properties of citronellol derived ionic liquid against two major stored grain insect pests. J Entomol Zool Stud 2016;4:365-70.
- Butler GD, Henneberry TJ, Stansly PA, Schuster DJ. Insecticidal effects of selected soaps, oils and detergents on the sweetpotato whitefly (Homoptera: *Aleyrodidae*). Fla Entomol 1993;76:161-7.
- Stansly PA, Liu TX, Schuster DJ, Dean DE. In: Gerling D, Mayer RT Jr., editors. Role of Mineral Oil and Surfactant Insecticides in Management of Silverleaf Whitefly (*Bemisia argentifolii*), in *Bemisia* Taxonomy, Biology, Damage, Control and Management. Andover, Hants, UK; 1995-1996. p. 605-15.
- Imail T, Tsuchiya S, Morita K, Fujimori T. Surface tension dependent surfactant toxicity on the green peach aphid, *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) (Hemiptera: Aphididae). Appl Entomol Zool 1994;29:389-93.
- Kim SI, Park C, Ohh MH, Cho HC, Ahn YJ. Contact and fumigant activities of aromatic plant extracts and essential oils against *Lasioderma serricornis* (Coleoptera: Anobiidae). J Stored Prod Res 2003;39:11-9.
- Rani PU, Rajasekharreddy P. Insecticidal activity of (2n-octylcycloprop-1-enyl) octanoic acid (I) against three coleopteran stored product insects from *Sterculia foetida* (L.). J Pest Sci 2010;83:273-9.
- Abbott WS. A method for computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. J Econ Entomol 1925;18:265-7.
- Jilani G, Saxena RC. Repellent and feeding deterrent effects of turmeric oil, sweetflag oil, neem oil, and a neem-based insecticide against lesser grain borer (Coleoptera: Bostrychidae). J Econ Entomol 1990;83:629-34.
- Nerio LS, Olivero-Verbel J, Stashenko E. Repellent activity of essential oils: A review. Bioresour Technol 2010;101:372-8.
- Huang YS, Ho H, Lee HC, Yap YL. Insecticidal properties of eugenol, isoeugenol and methyleugenol and their effects on nutrition of *Sitophilus zeamais* Motsch. (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) and *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). J Stored Prod Res 2002;38:403-12.
- Gomah EN. Toxic and antifeedant activities of potato glycoalkaloids against *Trogoderma granarium* (Coleoptera: Dermestidae). J Stored Prod Res 2011;47:185-90.
- Mahdi SM. Insecticidal effect of some spices on *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fabricius) in black gram seeds. Univ J Zool Rajshahi Univ 2008;27:47-50.
- Ho SJ, Wang KY, Sim GC, Ee Z, Imiyabir KF, Yap K, et al. Meliternatin: A feeding deterrent and larvicidal polyoxygenated flavone from *Melicope subunifoliolata*. Phytochemistry 2003;62:1121-4.
- Isman MB, Koul O, Luczynski A, Kaminskis J. Insecticidal and antifeedant bioactivities of neem oils and their relationship to azadirachtin content. J Agric Food Chem 1990;38:1406-11.
- Cory EN, Langford GS. Sulfated alcohols in insecticides. J Econ Entomol 1935;28:257-60.
- Dills LE, Mentsasw H. A study of some fatty acids and their soaps as contact insecticides. Boyce Thompson Inst Contrib 1935;7:63-82.
- Dozier HL. Sodium lauryl sulfate as a contact spray. J Econ Entomol 1937;30:968.
- Turner N, Saunders DH, Williamns JJ. The effect of some polyethylene glycol derivatives on the toxicity to aphids. Conn Agric Exp Stat Bull 1951;543:50.
- Wolfenbarger DA, Holscher CE. Contact and fumigant toxicity of oils, surfactants, and insecticides to two aphid and three beetle species. Flo Entomol

- 1967;50:27-36.
24. Oroian I, Oltean I, Florian V, Odagiu A, Brasovean I. Use of Organo Silicones for the Control of Vineyard Acarians. Opatija, Croatia: 43<sup>rd</sup> Croatian and 3<sup>rd</sup> International Symposium on Agriculture; 2008. p. 935-8.
25. Pernak J, Nawrot J, Kot M, Markiewicz B, Niemczak M. Ionic liquids based stored product insect antifeedants. RSC Adv 2013;3:25019-29.